

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,874	FANSLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Kokko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 112 against claim 31.

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Kokko agreed to add language previously added to claim 1 into claim 31 to correct any 112 problems with the forming of the polarizer.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Mathieu Vargot
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)